

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/059,630	01/29/2002	Terry R. Bloom	CTS-2172	5273
29184	7590 11/26/2003	•	EXAM	IINER
CTS CORPO		KOPEC, MARK T		
905 W. BLVI ELKHART, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1751	*
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					///
		Applicati	on N .	Applicant(s)	
•		10/059,6	30	BLOOM, TERRY	R.
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
		Mark Ko		1751	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or R ply	ppears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no exepty within the standard will apply and vitte, cause the appropriate the appropriate in the appropriate.	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this conditions to the conditions of the co	<i>r.</i> ommunication.
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is n	on-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde				merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from co			
	ion Papers				
10)[The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b ne drawing(s) ection is requi	be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a link acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the first sentence of a claim for domestic the complete of the complet	ents have been to	en received. en received in Application received in Application to the specification or application has been received as U.S.C. § 119(e) and the specification or application has been received.	on No d in this National and. e) (to a provisional in an Application in an Application in and/or 121 since and/or 12	application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachmen					
2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1751

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere
Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for
establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 1751

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)/(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DeKeyser et al (4,806,159), Shoji et al (4,775,414) or Kay (4,746,838).

DeKeyser et al (4,806,159) discloses a plating activator composition. This activator composition consists essentially of at least 85% Ag, from 0.1 to 7% Pd, from 1% to 10% of an element selected from Cu, Si, Bi, Zn, Fe, Ni, Sn, Zr, Nb, Sb, Mn and combinations thereof (Abstract). The compositions may also contain a binder/vehicle system, made up of a 6% solution of N-50 ethylcellulose in pine-oil, the ethylcellulose having been supplied by Hercules Inc., Wilmington, Del. Terpineol can also be used as the vehicle system (Col 4, line 65-Col 5, line 5). See also example 15.

Shoji discloses Inorganic adhesives suitable for bonding metals to metals, metals to ceramics, or ceramics to ceramics with high bonding strength are disclosed, which contain a composite mechanical alloy powder comprising: (a) from about 10 to 60 wt % of particles of at least one of Cu and Ni; (b) from about 10 to 80 wt % of particles of at least one of Ti, Nb, and Zr; and (c) from about 10 to 80 wt % of Ag particles. The

Art Unit: 1751

composite powder can be dispersed in an organic solvent to form a paste adhesive (Abstract). The composite powder of the present invention can be dispersed in an organic solvent and can be used in the form of the resulting paste. As the organic solvent, terepineol, butyl carbitol, texanol, butyl carbitol acetate, etc. can be used. The amount of the composite powder in the paste is suitably from about 60 to 90 wt wt%. In addition, 2 wt% or less of a surfactant (e.g., rosin wax) can be added in addition to the organic solvent, or ethyl cellulose can further be added as a binder (Col 7, lines 38-45). The reference additionally discloses particles sizes within the claimed range (Col 8, lines 20-30). See also examples 1, 12 and 39.

Kay discloses ink for use in forming resistive structures for use in a gas discharge display panel containing mercury vapor to inhibit cathode sputtering, the ink comprising a mixture of silver and nickel with the nickel being controllably oxidized to impart the desired resistivity to the mixture and the final resistive body in the display panel (Abstract). In the foregoing material, the nickel is in the form of a powder having a particle size in the range of about two to about ten microns. This particles size provides the optimum nickel surface for oxidation during the processing operation to achieve

Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

the desired resistance. The silver component is made up of a combination of silver flakes and silver powder. This use of flakes and powder also combines with the nickel powder to provide optimum packing of the silver and the nickel and optimum control of the overall conductivity of the final resistive body as it undergoes multiple firings during the manufacture of the panel. In the silver component, the silver powder has a particle size in the range of about 0.8 microns to about 1.2 microns. The silver flakes are less than about ten microns in length. The glass frit used in the ink of the invention is a low temperature binder glass which serves to insure proper wetting of the nickel and silver in the firing process used in forming the resistive body. The glass frit preferably has a melting point in the range of about 440.degree. C. to about 460.degree. C. The ink also includes a vehicle which is not a critical constituent and is provided to impart proper screening characteristics to the ink (Col 2, lines 39-65). Typical ink compositions embodying the invention include:

Constituent	Weight %
Silver Flake	20-30
Silver Powder	15-25

Application/Control Number: 10/059,630 Page 6

Art Unit: 1751

Spherical Nickel Powder

10-30

Glass Frit 20-25

· Vehicle 13-20

The vehicle used in the ink of the invention is typically an ethyl cellulose/ester alcohol vehicle (Col 3, lines 25-27).

The references either specifically or inherently meet the instantly claimed limitations.

In the alternative that any minor modifications are necessary to meet the claimed limitations, such as minor variation in percentage of ingredients or selection of vehicle constituents, such modifications are well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

In view of the foregoing, the above claims have failed to patentably distinguish over the applied art.

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Kopec whose telephone number is 703 308-1088. The examiner can

Art Unit: 1751

Page 7

normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 703 308-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

Mark Kopec
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751

MK

November 21, 2003